



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/733,553 | 12/11/2003 | Wai T. Lam | 34826-1014 | 7752 |
| 7590 Kaye Scholer LLP 425 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022-3598 | | 06/06/2007 | EXAMINER PATEL, HETUL B | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2186 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 06/06/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/733,553 | LAM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Hetul Patel | 2186 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hetul Patel. (3)_____.

(2) Jonathan Tyler (Reg. No: 52,308). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Polfer et al. (USPN: 6,665,779).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

H. B. Patel
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney pointed out that even though the Polfer prior art teaches about examining the FAT entries, the Polfer prior art does not teach the first limitation "performing at least one read operation ..." of claim 1. Examiner pointed out to Attorney that since the claim limitation(s) does not specifically states about reading the actual data blocks pointed out by the file system, examining FAT in Polfer does read on the claimed limitation. Attorney will make appropriate amendment(s) to claim 1 for clarifying this point (i.e. the read operation reads the actual data blocks pointed out by the file system).

Attorney also pointed out that he inadvertently specified the supporting lines and fig. components backward. He will correctly present it in the next official response to overcome the 112, 1st rejection..